| 1              | CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO<br>Attorney General                                   |  |
|----------------|--|--|
| 2              | STEPHEN D. QUINN   |  |
| 3              | Senior Deputy Attorney General<br>Nevada Bar No. 5746                        |  |
| 4              | Litigation Division 100 North Carson Street                                  |  |
| 5              | Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717<br>Tel: (775) 684-1222<br>Fax: (775) 684-1275 |  |
| 6              |  | 4-                                       |
| 7              | Attorneys for Defendant State of Nevao<br>Department of Public Safety        | da e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |
| 8              |  |  |
| 9              | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |
| 10             | DISTRICT OF NEVADA   |  |
| 11             |  |  |
| 12             | JACQUELYN SANDAGE,   | ) Case No.: 3:06-CV-00634-LRH-RAM        |
| 13             | Plaintiff,   | )<br>}                                   |
|                |  | MOTION FOR SETTLEMENT                    |
| 14             | VS.  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16 | STATE OF NEVADA, dba NEVADA<br>HIGHWAY PATROL and DOES I-X,                  | CONFERENCE                               |

Defendant, State of Nevada Department of Public Safety, by and through counsel, Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Stephen D. Quinn, Senior Deputy Attorney General, respectfully requests the Court set this case for formal settlement conference. This request is made pursuant to LR 16-5. Defendant submits the following in support of its request:

- 1. Both parties have repeatedly indicated a desire to settle this case. As a result of circumstances, the parties have been unable to make substantial progress towards achievement of settlement. Defendant believes the Court's oversight and participation in settlement discussions would assist in achievement of successful settlement negotiations.
- 2. About August 27, 2007, Plaintiff agreed upon certain required "parameters" of settlement to wit: a) A consent decree that would address the "culture" that allegedly exists at

10

11

12 13

14 15 16

18 19

20

21

17

22 23 24

26

25

27

28

the DPS Highway Patrol; b) A mechanism for enforcement of the consent decree that contemplates oversight by someone outside the Department acceptable to Plaintiff; c) A process for resolving problems with Plaintiff's continued employment that would include purchasing eight years of retirement, which would enable Plaintiff to retire with 25 years of service; and d) Payment to Plaintiff of a cash settlement, the amount of which would depend upon the costs of satisfying part "c" above.

- 3. About September 6, 2007, Defendant informed Plaintiff that there is a maximum number of five years of retirement that an employee can purchase from PERS, and that Plaintiff has already purchased slightly over two years. The cost to purchase the remaining eligible years is slightly over \$100,000.00.
- 4. On September 17, 2007, in response to the information about the cost and availability of purchasing retirement, Plaintiff indicated her resignation to the inability of the parties to reach a settlement in this case.
- 5. Because of the contentious level to which the litigation of this case has risen, it appears that positive, productive settlement discussions between the parties are impossible without outside assistance to medicate negotiations.
- 6. On September 5, 2007, Defendant asked counsel for Plaintiff, Fred Acheson, whether Plaintiff would join in a request to have the Court schedule and conduct a settlement conference and to get back with a response. Since then, Defendant has not received a response.
- 7. Also, since September 5, 2007, contention has potentially increased, rendering further communication towards agreement difficult. For that reason, Defendant is unilaterally making this request. However, Defendant believes that Plaintiff desires to pursue settlement discussions and will not oppose scheduling a formal settlement conference presided over by this Court.

(The remainder of this page is left blank intentionally.)

Based on the foregoing, Defendant requests the Court schedule a formal settlement conference at which the parties are ordered to attend and participate in good faith. DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2007. CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General An Frime By: Stephen D. Quinn Senior Deputy Attorney General Litigation Division Attorneys for Defendant Nevada Highway Patrol 

Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada and that on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2007, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing by U.S. District Court CM/ECF Electronic Filing to the following: MARK L. MAUSERT ESQ LAW OFFICE OF MARK MAUSERT 930 EVANS AVE **RENO NV 89512** By: Andrinu  $\hbox{C:$\Documents and Settings$\cjsweene$My Documents$\Quinn$\Cases$\Sandage.634Mtn for Settlemtn Conf.doc}$ 

Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717